>>> <Kelly.Brian@epamail.epa.gov> 1/21/2009 2:27 pm >>>

Below are EPA's comments to the Southern Illinois Railcar - Initial Site

General Comment: the guiding standards are in Ohio Administrative Code Section 3745-1-07. http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/rules/01-07.pdf The standards are based on water use designation, temperature and pH. Warrington Ditch has a default use designation of warm water habitat therefore, Tables 7-2 and Table 7-5 are applicable. Typical pH and temperatures for streams in this area are as follows:

	pН	Tem
Summer	7.6 S.U.	23
Winter	7.6 5.0.	10.0

Thus the ammonia-wasteload criteria is:

	Maximum	Average
Summer	13 mg/l	6.6 mg/l
Winter	13 mg/l	1.8 mg/l

I do not plan to micro-manage how Allied chooses to achieve this criteria. The items I am most interested in seeing in a work plan are 1) a statement outlining the above criteria, 2) a schedule for completion of the work, 3) commitment to maintain water management until water quality standards are met, and 4) a monitoring/sampling program that shows the criteria has been achieved.

Specific Comments:

- The rationale for selection of the cited thresholds for action (i.e. 200 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg, and 1,000 mg/kg) should be included: i.e. is this Ohio EPA criteria, guidance, or calculated site-specific thresholds, or is this professional judgement?
- The report does not discuss horizontal extent of contamination or further defining the horizontal extent for the purposes of a response action. Will this be discussed/addressed in subsequent planning documents or further investigation?
- The verb tenses in the discussion of completed tasks need to be changed in several locations to make it clear this work was done; i.e. Section 3.1.2, 3.1.3, etc "soil borings will be advanced".
- 3.2.2: please indicate the depth of refusal in the second paragraph.
 - 3.2.8 please indicate the allowed concentrations.
- The rationale for selection of the chemical analyses for each of the media sampled should be discussed in more detail.
- Schedule states January 19th as the start date, did activities begin?

FIGURES

Please show the water sample locations on Figure 2 or provide an additional figure.

- The soil boring description in the legend for Figure 2 indicates these are proposed - please make it clear these were actual locations as appropriate.
- The predominant wind direction on Figure 3 would be helpful.
- It would be helpful to have a figure specifically showing the locations with ammonia concentrations over the thresholds determined for response actions. It would also be helpful to have cross sections depicting the source areas.

TABLES

The water sample data from the lab is missing from the lab reports.

Sincerely.

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.

